This memorandum was prepared by Office of Near Eastern and South Asian Analysis. Information as of 19 July 1985 was used in its preparation. Comments and queries are welcome and may be addressed to the Chief, South Asi	25X1
Division NESA M 85-10158	25 X 1
SE CRE T	25X1

1

-- Announced that the Indian Government would not succumb to terrorist pressure and that severe action would be taken against terrorists in India.

In addition, the Indian Ministry of External Affairs has publicly urged the government to take "strong and uncompromising steps against all forms of terrorism."

25X1

These statements contrast sharply with India's traditional passivity toward international terrorism. In the past, New Delhi's reactions have ranged from silence to vaguely-worded statements of disapproval, partly from a sense that terrorism elsewhere was none of India's business, but also out of concern for India's friendships in the Arab world--especially with the Palestinians. India also has been reluctant to antagonize the Islamic fundamantalist regime in Iran, fearing that Tehran would retaliate by attempting to radicalize India's largely quiescent Muslim population. For example:

- -- India privately condemned Iran's 1979 seizure of the US Embassy hostages but turned aside US pressure to join official statements and did nothing to help ease the crisis.
- -- New Delhi reacted to the bombing of our Embassy in Beirut in April 1983 by suggesting that Washington's refusal to recognize Palestinian rights of self-determination was a key source of US troubles in the Middle East.
- -- India has commented publicly on only a handful of the many hundreds of terrorist incidents that have occurred in recent years, according to press reports.

25X1

India has even abetted terrorist acts in neighboring countries by providing sanctuary, financing, and training for Tamil militants seeking a separate state in Sri Lanka, and by periodically supporting Al-Zulfiqar terrorists aiming to overthrow Pakistan's military regime. Officially, New Delhi has rationalized this support by defining these groups as "freedom fighters" rather than "terrorists."

25X1

The record under Rajiv's stewardship, however, suggests he may be less comfortable with Indian support of such groups. New Delhi's backing of Al-Zulfiqar now appears dormant and Indian security forces have reined in the Tamil guerrillas, if only as part of India's support for the current Sri Lankan political negotiations.

25X1

-2-SE CRET

25X1

25X1

25X1

India as Victim

علهدون البريد

New Delhi's attitudes began to change only after India started experiencing terrorism first hand, following the army's bloody June 1984 attack on Sikh extremists holed up in the Amritsar Golden Temple--the Sikh religion's holiest shrine. Since then, India has been jolted by a number of violent incidents, including:

- -- The hijacking of an Indian Airlines plane to Dubai by Sikh extremists in August 1984.
- -- The assassination of Prime Minister Indira Gandhi by Sikh members of her bodyguard in October 1984 and the resulting Hindu backlash that claimed several thousand lives from both communities.
- -- A series of bombs in New Delhi and three northern states in May 1985 that claimed over 80 lives and injured hundreds.
- -- Numerous assassination attempts on Sikh and Hindu leaders in Punjab that have resulted in some deaths.

A major factor contributing to New Delhi's raised consciousness about terrorism is the very real threat to Rajiv. Sikh extremists who applauded his mother's murder have also called for his death, and many Indian and US observers believe he is certain to be the victim of an assassination attempt sooner or later. India's internal security services are preoccupied with protecting his life and those of his wife and children. The severity of this concern has been underscored by the construction of a new home for the Gandhis designed exclusively for protective purposes, the withdrawal of his children from their schools, and the increased precautionary planning before Rajiv travels, whether in India or abroad.

India also has taken a number of broader steps to curb Sikhsponsored violence within the country--including a draconian antiterrorism law, improved airport and VIP security measures, and the establishment of new counterintelligence units targeted against Sikhs.

The International Dimension

A number of recent events indicate that Sikh extremists are increasingly targeting Indian interests and personnel overseas, where funds, arms, and training are more readily available and where they can gain greater publicity for their cause. At least two Sikh extremist groups have claimed credit for the dramatic crash last month of an Air India 747 at sea. New Delhi strongly suspects the disaster was caused by a bomb planted by Sikh extremists in Canada.

25X1

25X1

New Delhi has long mai		could contain the	Sikh
threat but for extremists	abroad.		

Mounting incidents by foreign-based Sikh terrorists as well as concern for Gandhi's safety while traveling abroad have forced New Delhi to recognize the need for more international cooperation against terrorism. So far, however, the focus of India's efforts and activities has been on seeking closer cooperation from foreign governments--particularly the United Kingdom, Canada, and the United States -- in thwarting the Sikh threat to Indian interests.

Gandhi wants Indian security officials to cooperate

with the United States on this issue.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Limits to Cooperation

We believe New Delhi recognizes that cooperation is a twoway street and that the United States will expect India to provide assistance in return. In the near term, however, Indian cooperation probably will focus narrowly on piecemeal and largely tactical arrangements with the United States concerning Sikh activities in both countries. New Delhi can also be expected to push for more formal quadripartite cooperation between itself, the United States, the United Kingdon, and Canada.

25X1

Nonetheless, India has appreciated and been encouraged by US statements of support for its unity and integrity in the wake of Sikh unrest in the Punjab, and Rajiv himself appears to be generally more attuned to the need for democracies to act in concert against terrorism. US assistance to India's counterterrorism efforts over the past year has already had some effect in persuading New Delhi that the problem is global in scope and that India should work jointly with other countries. Indian security officials in New Delhi have expressed keen interest in receiving anti-terrorism training in the United States, notably in explosives detection and disposal and in forgery detection.

25X1

At this juncture, India's contribution to Western efforts to fashion an international response to terrorism appears to be a matter of some debate among Gandhi and his senior advisers, with no clear consensus yet apparent. We believe India will be reticent to engage in anti-terrorist initiatives that its

> -4 -SE CRET

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/06 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000506700001-8	25X1
friendsincluding the USSRwould view as too close to US positions; it will prefer multinational settings for its public efforts. Moreover, the Indian Ministry of External Affairs' sanctimonious response to the US-sponsored boycott of Beirut International Airport suggests New Delhi's entrenched bureaucracy does not yet reflect the Prime Minister's more open-minded	
approach.	25X1
Challenges for the United States	
Gandhi's own perceptions of the terrorist problem appear to extend well beyond his government's narrower focus on the Sikhs. His interest in terrorism also adds a new dimension for strengthening Indo-US ties.	25X1
The more actively India becomes involved in international cooperation on terrorism, the more likely counter-terrorism will receive support from other developing countries and the less opportunity New Delhi will have to backslide into its traditional ambivalence toward the problem. Closer cooperation with the United States should also help strengthen India's resolve to continue dealing with its own terrorist menace within a	
democratic framework.	25X1

سلاشين المبيد

25X1

SUBJECT: India: Changing Attitudes on International 25X1 Terrorism Internal Distribution: 1 - DDI 1 - NIO/NESA 1 - NIC/CT-NIO/NARC 1 - C/PES 1 - D/NESA 1 - DD/NESA 1 - C/PPS 1 - C/SO/D/NESA1 - C/S O/S /NE SA - C/DDO/NEA 25X1 1 - C/DDO/NE 25X1 1 - CPAS/ISS 1 - PDB Staff 4 - CPAS/IMD/CB 2 - NESA/PS 2 - NESA/SO/S Branch (29 Jul 85) DDI/NESA/SO/S 25X1

SUBJECT: India: Changing Attitudes on International Terrorism

25X1

External Distribution:

والمشهرين المستد

Captain Edward Louis Christensen, USN, Chief, South Asian Regional Plans and Policy Branch, Department of Defense, Room 2E973, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Mr. Stephen P. Cohen, Policy Planning Staff, Department of State, Room 7312, Washington, DC 20520

Mr. James P. Covey Special Assistant to the President and Senior Director, Near East and South Asia Affairs, National Security Council Room 351, Old Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20500

Mr. Robert Dean
Deputy Director, Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs
PM/OD, Department of State, Room 7428, Washington, DC 20520

Mr. Kenneth E. DeGraffenreid Special Assistant to the President and Senior Director Intelligence Programs, National Security Council, Room 300 Old Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20500

Mr. Donald R. Fortier Special Assistant to the President for Political-Military Affairs National Security Council, Room 374, Old Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20500

Dr. Robert Gallucci Director, Office of Regional Security Affairs, Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs, Department of State, Room 7424, Washington, DC 20520

Lt. General Phillip C. Gast, USAF Director of Defense Security Assistance Department of Defense, Room 4E841, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Mr. Donald Gregg, Assistant to the Vice President for National Security Affairs, Room 381, Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20500

Mr. George S. Harris Director, Office of Analysis for Near East-South Asia, Department of State, Room 4524A, Washington, DC 20520

Mr. Ron Lorton, Deputy Director for Intelligence and Research Bureau, Department of State, Room 4524A, Washington, DC 20520

-7 -SE CRET

	25 X 1
Mr. Peter Tomsen, Director, INS Department of State, Room 5251, Washington, DC 20520	
Mr. Stephen Rosen, Political-Military Staff Member National Security Council, Old Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20500	
Mr. Peter Rodman, Chairman, Policy Planning Council S/P, Department of State, Room 7312, Washington, DC 20520	
Mr. Robert A. Peck, Deputy Assistant Secretary, NEA Bureau, Department of State, Room 6244, Washington, DC 20520	
Ambassador Oakley VIA: Dave Long, M/CTP, Room 2236, Department of State, Washington, DC 20520	
Colonel Kenneth E. McKim, Director, Office of the Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs, Near East and South Asia Region, Department of Defense, Room 4D765, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301	
External Distribution (continued)	
SUBJECT: India: Changing Attitudes on International Terrorism	25 X 1
	25 X I
Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/06 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000506700001-8	25X1

Mr. Darnell M. Whitt Intelligence Adviser to the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Room 4D840, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Mr. Ronald P. Zwart, Special Assistant for South Asia, International Security Affairs/Near Eastern-South Asia Region, Department of Defense, Room 4D765, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

-8 -SE CRET